

# lambda

vol 11  
the second decade

no.14  
12 dec 72

laurentian  
university  
sudbury,  
northern  
ontario.



Staring into the vast expanse of oblivion must be a hazard that comes with the job of student politician.

## Nipissing, Algoma Affiliation questioned

Nipissing College will be offering a general B.A. program for 73/74. The proposals by Nipissing College for extension of their programs which was referred to the Senate meeting last Thursday was accepted by Senate.

A co-ordinating committee was set up to discuss the effects of the affiliated colleges on Laurentian. Dr. Monahan as part of the co-ordinating committee felt the motions presented, which agreed with the new program, would lead to better relationships between the two institutions. Laurentian University would benefit and that co-ordination of future academic planning would be easier.

Dr. Barnett voiced strong opposition to the motions by the co-ordinating committee. He felt that the quality of courses being offered was not the important issue, but that the establishment of another degree granting institution in the area would greatly affect student enrolment.

Three motions concerning the actual courses were passed by Senate. A fourth motion concerning the teaching of French programs by the extension division at Laurentian, whereby Laurentian would not interfere with Nipissing's student pool was not carried. Because the French department at Nipissing is not really adequately staffed, Laurentian will still offer extension courses to any area that requires the course instruction. With enrolment for next year expected to remain relatively constant, the Finance Committee of Senate submitted a brief on financial changes to the department of University Affairs. They proposed that financing be based on the previous year's enrolment not

the current year, and that the institutions be funded for a period of three years, not one.

Reports at the Senate meeting came from the Committee on Admissions, Promotions and Petitions; University Extension; Faculty Appointment and Promotions; and the Committee on University Budget and Short-Term Academic Planning. From "Admissions" only two modifications were made by two departments of Laurentian; the School of Commerce and Administration, and the Science department. The Mature Student requirements will remain in effect for two years, the regulations were felt to represent a true evaluation of student potential for those without standard qualifications.

The Budget Committee proposed a policy for Senate to endorse, concerning the "fees strike" of second term payments. The policy if endorsed, would state that second term payments be made by January 12. If payments are not met, students must reach an agreement with the university prior to January 12 for an alternative timetable and method of payment. Because an alternative timetable and method is needed, the Committee has recommended a Trust Fund be established between the University and the students.

The Trust Fund would have trustees acceptable to the university and the SGA. Individuals wishing to withhold fees would pay into the Trust Fund and this would meet the university's requirements for fee payments. Unfortunately the Senate was called to recess before the proposals could be endorsed.

## Council kills trust fund

"You people have got to look at this boycott as something that has to last longer than just one month or two months. This battle could go on into the next academic year and I think that every member of this council should take that into consideration when voting. A one month boycott is not even worth the trouble."

So spoke UC rep Bill Scandian in the midst of a heated debate last Friday, regarding the withholding of fees- and the trust fund which would have been set up for the boycott.

A motion, (Woodley-Scandian) called for the withholding of fees "as a political act" to protest actions of the provincial government regarding tuition fees and OSAP. Furthermore, the motion called for the establishment of a trust fund "for students and run by students". An amendment calling on the SGA to take charge of this trust fund was defeated and then, the main motion was divided. The first part calling for a fees boycott was passed. The second part (student run trust fund) was resoundingly defeated with 4 pro, 9 against and 2 abstentions.

A motion by Réjean Grenier calling on the University to make a clear statement of policy by Tuesday regarding the fees strike was carried (13 pro, no abstentions, Steve Rosenburgh against).

Discussion then turned to the trust fund scheme as endorsed by the Senate's Short-Term Budget Committee and as previously enumerated by Dr. Monahan one week ago. After a long, redundant and sometimes acrimonious debate, Council passed a motion by Steve Rosenburgh in which the SGA would fully support any students who withheld their second-term tuition fees. In the same motion (passed by a strong majority) Council effectively killed all "trust fund" ideas but called for students to withhold payment of all second-term fees.

Those who supported the trust fund idea saw in the trust fund, a vehicle for involving the general student body in the fees strike while providing the University administration guarantees that fees outstanding would be paid to the University upon conclusion of the student protest.


The majority that rejected the trust fund concept saw the trust fund as an ineffective tool in this dispute. Council members argued that depositing monies into a trust fund was tantamount to paying the fees directly to the University - albeit by another department of the University. It was also suggested that the university could conceivably utilize its substantial "Founders Fund" to offset the temporary loss of tuition fees. Consequently some members concluded that the University thus financially secure - with a different source of revenue, a trust fund in which it would have a say - would negate the whole idea of the student protest. An unconditional student boycott of fees would better dramatize the OFS demands.

At Friday's meeting, Council accepted the resignation of Roland Chartrand (U of S) from the SGA. Chartrand stated that he could not participate in an idealistic student government that wasted so much of its time on discussing only one issue (OFS) when many other pressing matters at LU were deferred for later consideration.

A report from the SGA's selection committee (in charge of employees at SGA enterprises) was accepted. Steve Rosenburgh's resignation from the committee was accepted. Rosenburgh gave as his reason for resignation a lack of understanding and co-operation between himself and the other committee members. Council appointed Ken Boyre to replace Rosenburgh as committee chairman and added Mike Slawny to the committee.



Preceding Friday's SGA

meeting, three emergency meetings were called by Yvon Lachapelle to determine a consensus of Council on reaction to the University attitude towards the fees boycott. There was no quorum for any of these three meetings. Decisions had to be taken however by consensus of those present. Friday's council meeting formally got under way later than expected since it was necessary to get quorum for a more definite decision on the issues. THE SGA urges all Council members to attend meetings regularly so that binding decisions do not have to be taken by a handful (under quorum) of Council members.



While the senate may look studious, it seems that they've been upstaged by the SGA. Senate dealt with the trust fund idea, while the SGA has already given up on it.





ESSAYS typed with care. Returned promptly. Reasonable rates. Phone any time. Mrs. Ruth MacDonald. 675-6126.

PREGNANT & DISTRESSED?  
Let Birthright help you.  
Telephone: 673-7200

8:30 pm

The Sudbury Theatre Center presents "The Man Who Came to Dinner" at the INCO Hall, Frood Road. Student Admission \$2. Continues nightly until Fri. Dec. 15.

## WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 13

4:00 pm  
&  
7:00 pm

Les Grands Films -- "French Cancan" by J. Renoir. Student Admission \$1.00, Adults \$1.25.

8:00 pm

The Departments of History, Political Science and Sociology together with the Office of the Vice-Pres. Academic present Dr. Robert Colodny, Professor of History at the University of Pittsburgh. Public Lecture entitled "The Spanish Civil War in Perspective of World History". Question and answer period to follow. C-309.

## THURSDAY DECEMBER 14

10:00 am

Informal Meeting with Dr. Colodny. Discussion on "the odyssey of the human mind: the methods of science applied to society". C-309.

12:30 pm

Young Progressive Conservative Meeting. C-306.

2:00 pm

Informal Seminar-Meeting with Dr. Colodny. Discussion of "the impact of the Spanish conflict on the literary imagination: case in point -- André Malroux. C-309.

## FRIDAY DECEMBER 15

8:00 pm

The Geography students and staff are invited to a Christmas Party at the U of S Student's Lounge. Lunch will be served but bring your own refreshments.

## SATURDAY DECEMBER 16

7:00 pm - ?

The staff and students of University of Sudbury College are invited to a Christmas Party. Mass at midnight in the Horseshoe Lounge of the Residence with dinner to follow at the U of S Student's Lounge. Dance afterwards in Residence.

## SUNDAY DECEMBER 17

2:00 pm

Laurentian Vees vs. the Moscow Selects at the Sudbury Arena. (Standing Room tickets only available.)

6:30 pm  
&  
9:00 pm

Cinema Laurentian is showing "8 1/2" Fellini's surrealistic autobiography, starring Marcello Mastroianni and Claudia Cardinale.

do it is a free service designed to let the university community know of important events during the coming week on campus. Should your group desire to place a "bait" in this section, submit it either in typed form or by phone to the lambda office by the Thursday before Tuesday publication.

# RECORDS

by Wade Lecour.

Hopefully, this column will be written on a regular, weekly basis. Therefore I would like to take this opportunity to give you some sort of idea of exactly how I will be reviewing the albums.

I believe that I can enjoy at any given time, any given type of music, be it categorized as: acid rock, rock 'n' roll, pop rock, Jesus rock, bubblegum rock, soul, rhythm and blues, folk, country, western, jazz, classical, or whatever. Therefore, I think that I can objectively review any record. My own roots lay in country and folk music; this is the type of music that I enjoy the most.

When I review a record, I attempt to give some sort of background information on the artist, my impressions of the record, and whether or not there is a noticeable improvement in the artist's music.

Be forewarned! I may slam your favorite group and like an artist whose music you consider mediocre.

Also, the record chart which I offer is based only on record sales and air play in the Sudbury and district area.

## OLD DAN'S RECORDS - Gordon Lightfoot

Gordon Lightfoot began his career as a country singer and song writer. He gained recognition for writing "For Lovin' Me" (Peter, Paul and Mary) and "Early Mornin' Rain" (Judy Collins). In 1966, Gordie came into his own with a smash single called "Spin, Spin" and a hit album of his own folk music, simply called "Lightfoot". For this effort, he was voted Canada's top folk singer of 1966. One year later, he was Canada's top male artist. He has never looked back since then, turning out album after album of excellent folk music. Then, in the summer of 1971, fate dealt a cruel blow. Disaster struck in the form of an infection of the middle ear which left half of his face paralyzed. Fortunately, he has recovered and his latest effort is entitled "Old Dan's Records".

The album begins with two songs which are very typical of Lightfoot. The music

flows to such a point that "That Same Old Obsession" picks up where "Farewell to Annabel" leaves off. Then, "Old Dan's Records", the title song, a beautiful mixture of Lightfoot's voice and guitar with a banjo and harp very noticeable in the background.

The album rapidly deteriorates. In the next four songs Lightfoot seems to be trying to combine lengthy, intricate orchestrations with his own natural style, and the result is hideous.

Next, a song more reminiscent of the old Lightfoot called "It's Worth Believin' ". And then the best song on the entire album, "Mother of a Miner's Child". Just Lightfoot and his guitar with almost no complimentary background. Poetry in motion! Beautiful!

The last song is probably typical of what his music will be like in the future. He really gets together with the Toronto Symphony on "Hi'way Songs" and the result is nothing less than brilliant.

"Old Dan's Records": a good album but not a great one. His music seems to be evolving into something different and the growing pains stand out. The effort put into the making of the album was great, but the finished product leaves much to be desired.

The record for this review was loaned to Lambda by the Woolco Department Store located in the New Sudbury Shopping Centre.

## TOP SINGLES

## This Week

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10Best new  
release

## SONG

I Can See Clearly Now  
Summer Breeze  
I Am Woman  
Starting All Over Again  
Ben  
I'll Be Around  
It Never Rains in Southern California  
Clair  
Freddy's Dead  
Sunny Days  
Ventura Highway

## ARTIST

Johnny Nash  
Seals and Crofts  
Helen Reddy  
Mel and Tim  
Michael Jackson  
The Spinners  
Albert Hammond  
Gilbert O'Sullivan  
Curtis Mayfield  
Lighthouse  
America

## TOP ALBUMS

1  
2  
3  
4  
5Best new  
release

Old Dan's Records  
Catch Bull At Four  
Close to the Edge  
Toulouse Street  
Caravanserai  
Seventh Sojourn

Gordon Lightfoot  
Cat Stevens  
Yes  
The Doobie Brothers  
Santana  
The Moody Blues





Nudity in the theatre sponsored by the Religious Studies department, tried to present an academic look at nudity. See story below.

## Interview with strippers - revealing

On Tuesday, December 5 the students of Laurentian University were "treated" to an in-depth, academic study of nudity in the theatre. This seminar was presented by a group of students enrolled in Religious Studies 1140E, a course on the new morality taught by Reverend Brian Altken of Huntingdon.

The seminar was presented by means of video-taped films and a panel discussion. The films showed two women, who are employed as strippers, at work. The first girl, Tina who had only six months experience, performed a quick, raw strip to a heavy, pulsating musical background. Mystery Night, a seven year veteran, preferred a more sensual and teasing type of strip to a soft, relaxing musical background. Both girls were subsequently interviewed and answered questions concerning their occupation.

The panel was comprised of two first year students, a representative from one of the local television stations, and Miss Mystery Night. The panel, various group members, and the audience fired questions which Mystery Night attempted to answer.

Mystery Night deliberately attempted to radiate a sexy image. Although she may have captivated most of the audience, this obviously forced attempt at deception showed Mystery Night's basically phony character. The lady WAS attractive, but the silly, little "come-ons" that she used, were sickening.

She also appeared to be somewhat egotistical; although, at the same time she correctly analysed that her performance was professional while Tina's was rather amateur. Mystery Night also stated that girls who had knowledge of how to dance should not be allowed to strip at public performances. She is also firmly against intercourse on the stage but that she would go to see a man, who was disguised as a woman, strip.

Some members of the audience considered it immoral when Mystery Night, a potential mother, stated that she would allow her daughter (if she ever had one) to enter into the striptease business.

Some of the questioners asked if she was a lesbian, if her profession affected her personal life, what her personal attitude was towards men, and what she expected to do when she became too old to strip for a living.

Mystery Night indicated that she loved to tease men, that she had not and would not enter into a homosexual relationship, that her profession did not affect her personal life and that within five to ten years that she intended to have a repair job done on her body.

I asked Mystery Night if she felt that she was exploiting sex in order to satisfy her own emotional need to tease men and, at the same time, put money in her own pocket. She answered by making some sort of abstract reference to girls having an emotional need to show their legs to men, which extremely embarrassed the poor girl that she "picked" to illustrate her point.

Mystery Night also said that she did not date customers. This statement was entirely false. Mr. Robert Paul, chairman of the group stated in an interview that all three girls, indicated in one way or another, to one or more male members of the seminar group, that she would like to have sexual intercourse.

The seminar group also decided to edit Tina's response to a question concerning her age because they felt it could ruin her chances for future employment. However, for a total moral discussion it should be revealed that Tina is only fifteen years old. Perhaps, the group made a mistake here. After all, if the girl is old enough to take off her clothes in front of people, she should be old enough to suffer the consequences of unemployment.

Mystery Night is definitely in favour of enforcing a minimum age limit of 18 for all strippers.

Casino Burlesque, the local "strip theatre" is suffering dwindling attendances, losing money and might soon go out of business.

Mr. Paul said that under the present legal system, it would be relatively easy for any citizen to bring about the closing of Casino Burlesque. All that would be necessary is for one person to file a complaint with the local police department stating that he considered the performances immoral and indecent. Based on legal precedent, a court would probably force the Casino to considerably change.

In the final analysis, the research and the effort that the group had done in preparing the seminar was very noticeable. They went to a great deal of trouble to interview people and to film the two strippers. Special congratulations must go to Mr. John McGee, who did all the filming for the presentation. Evidently, this was his first experience at any type of theatre arts and the fine job that he did, is to be commended.

The group was somewhat disappointed that the audience did not discuss the moral implications of stripping. They were also disappointed that more girls did not actively participate in the discussion. However, they were extremely pleased with the excellent turnout and the publicity that they received from the local media. They are now happy that so many people are now talking about their presentation.

Professor Chester Warena of the Philosophy Department indicated that, in his opinion, the entire presentation was a farce. As he said, "If they really wanted to do an in-depth study of nudity in the theatre, everyone should have gone up on the stage and stripped, and then closely examined one another."

## Maintenance workers joining union

Maintenance workers at Laurentian have applied for certification with the Canadian Union of Public Employees. A notice of hearing for December 28 was posted on campus shop locations on December 6.

Mr. H. Lemire, Laurentian Business Officer, told Lambda he had gone through the application and that it seemed correct in every

sense. "I do not intend to contest it by any means", and added that he sees no difficulty in dealing with CUPE. Lemire is a graduate in Labour Relations and has had considerable experience in the field. He has worked with salary questions for some time here, including the negotiations of 4 contracts with the boiler engineers' Union that represents some workers at L.U. He feels his relations with that union have

been "very cordial".

Frank Sabata, President of the Maintenance Workers' Association, said it was a case of working people on campus trying to improve their situation. Sabata stressed that the workers "...will not be outrageous. We will not make any unrealistic proposals". He said that they realize the financial situation of the university, and said "all we want is a fair shake".

## Screw - ups within OFS

OTTAWA (CUP) -- It appears the Ontario Federation of Students (OFS) proposed January fee strike will happen, according to the general co-ordinator Craig Heron.

"It looks like it's going to pass," Heron told CUP.

The OFS co-ordinator has received votes on the issue from eleven of the organization's eighteen member campuses. Only the University of Waterloo has voted no to the strike so far, while Guelph and Fanshawe College have abstained.

The Lakehead summer and regular student councils, York University, Glendon College, the University of Western Ontario (UWO), Queen's University, Laurentian University and the University of Toronto (U of T) student council executive have agreed to support the strike.

The University of Waterloo student council voted no because a strike will hurt their co-op students who must register each term. However, they are discussing holding a week-long class boycott to publicize the issue.

Both the York and Laurentian votes have changed to yes since the OFS general meeting Nov. 25, Heron said.

The votes are coming in following the meeting, since no decisions can be made without ratification by member councils. The OFS executive decision to proceed with the strike was made after the general meeting broke up in indecision, disagreement and executive resignations.

"The confusion was not from a lack of sense of where we should go," Heron said.

Posters asking students to withhold January fee installments are now being printed for distribution in the second week of December. A four-page tabloid paper explaining the OFS position on the strike will be circulated on member campuses before January classes begin and local student councils are being asked to do a mailing to all students before Christmas, explaining their position, Heron said.

The students are protesting the \$100 tuition fee increase and the increased loan portion of student aid announced by the Ontario government last spring.

More than 50 per cent of students at member campuses could

withhold their second installments, Heron said. At the Nov. 25 general meeting, figures were presented showing the percentage of students able to do this ranged from 31 per cent (at Waterloo) to a high of 90 per cent. Most of the figures were about 75 per cent, he added.

The fee strike strategy will be assessed in February, he said. This will be necessary to gauge the effectiveness of the strike, especially since individual campuses will do their own organizing.

Carleton University students council has established a trust fund for their students' fee installments. The campus' Canadian Liberation Movement (CLM) chapter opened a similar fund on Nov. 22, but the Carleton council decided to set up their own and not endorse CLM's.

"Everyone's totally confused," about the difference between the council and CLM trust funds, one student said Dec. 3. She expects students will end up putting their money in neither account.

The Carleton council has promised \$2,500 to the campaign, the OFS co-ordinator said, and has already purchased advertising space in the campus student newspaper.

The Laurentian council is also solidly behind the campaign now, Heron said.

At Queen's, the council unanimously passed a motion supporting the strike, while the UWO council passed their motion with a large majority. Both campuses had a high turnout during the October referendum on the tuition and loan issues, with many students supporting a January fee strike.

The U of T student council will decide Dec. 4 if they agree with their executive's decision. It will also consider an OFS motion supporting a one-day moratorium on classes following the release of the provincial report on post-secondary education, expected next month.

U of T student president Eric Miglin suggested Nov. 27 that students wait until Jan. 10 before they consider paying their second installment. On that date, the council has agreed to advertise in the campus' student paper's first post-Christmas edition, informing students of the campaign's progress.

## NDY discuss Waffle program

Recent membership meetings of the Laurentian University New Democratic Youth have dealt with the status of their organization within the party. A meeting on November 30 heard Mel Watkins outline the program of the Waffle Movement for an Independent Socialist Canada.

Regular business at that meeting was tabled in order to hear the Waffle case. The Waffle are placing emphasis on recent developments in the American Economy and the Mackenzie pipeline issue. The Laurentian group is committed to a program of social action that is somewhat similar to that of the Waffle, especially in workers' issues and political education.

The members present felt that the temper of local riding associations would permit cooperation with the Waffle despite the official party stand at the provincial level. Others said they would cooperate with the Waffle with or without the local associations be-

cause they felt the riding associations' over-riding concern with the electoral process would divert the energies of the club away from the program of social action to which they are committed.

### affiliation

At the December 5 meeting a motion to affiliate with the Sudbury area council of the NDP, but assume no financial responsibilities, was passed. Discussion on membership in the NDP was referred to the next meeting. Some members question whether membership in the NDP should be a prerequisite to working in the Laurentian club, and whether the Laurentian group should even be an NDY club.

The meeting also endorsed a series of seminars the Laurentian Socialist Society will be presenting. There was also discussion on increasing the membership and on the recent union developments on the campus.



# Vol III lambda

the second decade

## Editor

**Lyn Downer**

## Managing Editor

**Brian R. Gatien**

## News Editor

**RW Woodley**

## Sports Editor

**B Steklasa**

## Fine Arts Editor

**M Derochie**

## SGA Board Member

**B Scandlan**

## Typesetter

**M Boyle**

## Satire

**B Scandlan**

**P Moore**

LAURENTIAN UNIVERSITY, SUDBURY, ONTARIO  
MEMBER - CANADIAN UNIVERSITY PRESS

Lambda is the student newspaper of Laurentian University. It is published Tuesday weekly mid-September to mid-March by Lambda Publications, an independent association of the Students of Laurentian University.

All opinions are those of the editorial staff unless otherwise stated.

Letters to the editor must be typed and cannot be printed unless signed with address and telephone number. Pen names will be accepted only if just cause can be shown for them.

Advertising is accepted by the Central Advertising Bureau, Room L-221, R. D. Parker Bldg., Laurentian University or Youthstream, 307 Davenport Rd., Toronto, 180, Ontario.

The Lambda office is located in Room L-222, R. D. Parker Bldg., Laurentian University. Phone switchboard 675-1151 ext. 204, or directly 673-8613.

this week: the last issue before christmas and we are all glad. seems that as the year goes on, we lose a little bit more of our staff, but here anyway for the last layout of 1972: lyn, marg, brian, pam, mary, cherry, don, moe, bob, bill, and rick and monica. bri and lyn and cherry will be heading for winnipeg for the national conference of canadian university press instead of enjoying the great northern ontario xmas. that's all for this week and this year. endit.

# page four

## editorial

It has been pointed out that there was a major error in last week's editorial with regards to the decision made about allowing children in the new apartment complex. The error was, that there simply has been no decision made as yet.

The committee that is studying these problems is strictly an information centre, and can only make recommendations to the powers that be. In this respect, they cannot make a decision about children in the complex, and as yet no one has made a firm commitment on this matter.

The point that I was trying to make, and I still feel is valid, is that children being excluded from a married apartment complex is ludicrous. While I rambled on about pregnancy tests, etc. It was hoped that none would take them seriously. It was simply a means of pointing out how ridiculous the idea, of segregating children, is to comprehend.

While no slur upon the committee's activities was intended, it appears that some took it this way. The committee itself is desperately important. They, at least, are taking a long hard look at the new complex and are presently involved in making recommendations as to its overall effectiveness.

The very idea that segregation of children from a married residence would be put forth, is in itself ridiculous. Arguments presented range from a lack of proximity to schools, to the fact that children and single students could not live side by side. Seems that even the reasons for segregating the children are sleazy!

To say that proximity of schools is a criteria for not letting children live in the

new complex is absurd. One would assume that this decision is that of the parents. It should remain with the parents to decide whether or not proximity to schools is important enough to warrant their moving to another area.

As for the contention that single students and children cannot live together in the same complex, someone has made a great and grandiose error. In most apartments the fact that one must live beside children, is not really that disconcerting. If you don't like the situation, then moving out is the answer. While this seems like a very simple solution, for some reason, it is not applicable to an apartment complex within the boundaries of a university.

The problem here, is that the new complex is not designed for children's usage. The apartments are not arranged in any specific order, and this is the reason given for not allowing children to live herein. It did not strike me as being a prime prerequisite for apartment dwelling, to separate families and singles. If this is a prime factor in allowing children and singles to live in the same building, then the apartment builders in the downtown area have made yet another grandiose error.

Strange indeed that the downtown apartments have not experienced any great amount of difficulty in filling their buildings, while at the same time making these apparent errors.

Time has come for these strange and varied powers of this university to realize that this outmoded idea of students in general is one that does not apply in this case. If the university hopes to increase enrolment through the use of increased student housing, then the basic idea is fine. The problem that they must look at is making the complex habitable, and making it appealing to the students who would use it. By not allowing children, perhaps they will have already defeated the purpose of this great white elephant of the north!

# I's


BY *PET*




Look, you don't have to tell me all that crap....



I already know the story about Christmas....



I know, I know the kid wrote a letter to the New York Times



Ya, Ya, and the editor said "Virginia, Santa Claus does exist."



What's that, its all a lie?



Santa Claus does exist, but the state of Virginia is a figment???



# Theatre.....review-preview

by donpearsall

## Theatre Review

My apologies to readers of this column for its two-week absence. Lambda was forced to cut back from twelve pages to eight, and my column was in the deleted four.

In belated review, Sudbury Theatre Centre (STC) presented their second production of the season, Neil Simon's "Last of the Red Hot Lovers", Nov. 13-18. Still playing to less than packed houses, STC seems to have cleaned up its act somewhat since "The Little Hut" a month earlier, with a better set design and generally improved backstage effort. Production execution, however, especially in regard to lighting, music selection and cueing, and detail work in set construction still seem to be dragging the tone of the show down. Keep on choogin', Tony Lloyd!

Guy Sanvido played a creditable interpretation of Barney Cashman, the title role, fielding Simon's

tennis-match repartée with nice professionalism. All three of Barney's armours: Bev Marsh as Elaine Navasio, Angela Fusco as Bobbi Michele, and Joan Panton as Jeanette Fisher, deserve standing ovations for their performances. Where the play flagged in spots, the sheer ingenuity of their characterizations kept one involved in the play. All in all, a fine performance by a fine company.

## Theatre Preview

Sudbury Theatre Centre airs its third of seven productions "The Man Who Came to Dinner", the classic thirties comedy by

Hart and Kaufman, Dec. 11-16. The title role, that of Sheridan Whiteside, will be played by Paul Kligman, late of an appearance in "The Royal Family" at Niagara-on-the-Lake. Daphne Gibson plays Whiteside's secretary, Maggie Cutler. This has always been a difficult part, and I look forward to Ms. Gibson's performance. She has enveloped, in her career, such diverse roles as Irma in "Irma la Douce" and Ariel in Shakespeare's "The Tempest". Miss Preen, Whiteside's nurse, is portrayed by Sheila Haney, of the Royal Academy of Dramatic Art in London.

Any questions? Phone STC at 674-8381.

Dear Editor:

The reduction of the level of government financing of universities and the stabilization of enrolment are among many factors responsible for the one-half million dollar deficit in 72-73 and the expected one-quarter million dollar deficit in 73-74. However the university realizes that it must adapt to these conditions and has been forced to take action.

Acknowledging this, the Senate has appointed a group of people, consisting primarily of members of the Budget Committee to study the long-range goals, academic programmes and structures and the activities of the university. To carry this out it will be trying to evaluate the needs of the region and of the students and attempt to evaluate the present programmes and structures in light of those needs.

Recommendations will be made regarding the goals of the university, structures and programmes to realize these goals and a plan will be made for directions in which the university can develop. The primary concern of the committee at its first meeting were ways and means of involving the larger community in their decisions and of tapping the expertise and the creative ideas of all members of the university community.

We, your representatives on this committee, want to take this opportunity to inform you of the tremendous possibilities that this offers the student to participate effectively in the structuring and direction of this university.

Over the holidays, think about what you want your university to be.

Richard Wilson and Ray Auger



"THE LOCK" (24" X 36") by IVAN WHEALE.

# Art.....review-preview

by donpearsall

The Laurentian Museum and Arts Centre was graced with a solo exhibition of recent paintings by Ivan Wheale, Nov. 23 to Dec. 8. For the sake of those unfortunates who managed to miss the show (and I have the sneaking suspicion that remarkably few Laurentian students ever attend) let me say that it was truly a rewarding experience.

Born November 19, 1934 in Sunderland, England, Mr. Wheale became interested in art at an early age, working first in watercolours before turning to the oils for which he has become justly famous. He is entirely self-taught.

The Museum exhibit showed the effect of his early association with the delicate watercolour medium. Many of his paintings employ its fine pastel qualities to depict idyllic landscapes and farm scenes in auras of deep reverie. Many have been drawn to Mr. Wheale's works in oil for their remarkably precise detail. Indeed, his works have an almost idealistic character, bringing to the eye, as they do, far more detail of

the subject than one could see were they to view that same subject in its natural environment. Witness this effect in "The Lock" (shown). The viewer is flattered to perceive the wealth of minute points of interest throughout the painting. One is drawn to explore its intricacies. It occurs to me that Mr. Wheale's communication with the viewer approximates much the same sense of intimacy that Mussorgsky achieves in music.

There is much to be said about the works of Ivan Wheale,

the works of Ivan Wheale, but saying it doesn't hold a candle to seeing it. It therefore behooves readers of this column to view his works 'in the flesh' at "The Art Gallery", his own showcase, at 248 Cedar in downtown Sudbury. Seeing is believing.

## Art Preview

During the coming festive season, the Laurentian Museum and Arts Centre will present two exhibitions featuring local and regional artists: one, a solo ex-

hibition; and the other, a group show.

During past seasons, the Museum and Arts Centre has displayed many paintings and graphics by members of the Northern Ontario Art Association. This year, it is privileged to offer the 16th Annual juried exhibition of paintings. Doris McCarthy, well-known Canadian artist, was the chief juror of this show, which consists of works by artists from Sudbury and from the vast territory covered by the Association.

Running concurrently with the N.O.A.A. show is a solo exhibition of twenty-six paintings by Pierre Lawton, former Montreal artist now teaching at the MacDonald-Cartier Secondary School. These works are mainly landscapes and seascapes of his native province of Quebec.

The Museum and Arts Centre is located at the corner of John St. and Nelson. It is open 1:30-5:00 daily, including weekends. Closed Mondays. The above-mentioned exhibit will run Dec. 8/72 to Jan. 8/73.

# UNION NEEDED

by Maurice E. Proulx

"People must realize that we have to change certain things". Frank Sabata, president of the Maintenance Workers at Laurentian, spoke to Lambda about the formation of a labour union on campus. The maintenance workers are seeking certification with the Canadian Union of Public Employees and notice of a December 28 hearing to review that situation was posted at shop locations at the university.

The workers decided on unionization largely to improve their bargaining position and receive protection from arbitrary administration decisions affecting work hours and pay. For instance, workers at the Laurentian Printing Service, by verbal order from the tower, have received, under this budget, an increase in work hours without any increase in pay. There has also been much discontent but a reluctance to speak out. Campus workers have kept their organizing under raps for no devious reasons but merely as a precautionary measure.


Perhaps fears of job jeopardy are unfounded and merely stem from traditional attitudes about organizing situations, but I do not think so. An institution that underpays many of its employees, and resorts to very exploiting tactics of reducing wages and/or increasing hours would not be beyond trying to prevent workers from organizing despite the fact that this right is guaranteed by the Labour Act (Article III).

Research by the Laurentian New Democratic Youth revealed that some secretaries are afraid that signing union cards or promoting union organization would put their jobs on the line. Much of this information was provided by members of the NDY who are also staff members of this university. The NDY, however, cannot push for unions on campus, and neither can the employees, under the stipulations that govern the process of seeking certification.

Sabata got the information, followed the rules and got the signatures (getting a 100% score) and effectively, with the support of the Maintenance Association, established a union. In the Lambda interview, he stressed the positive role this union will play. It will, for instance protect jobs; this campus now has more green belt in the summer, more roads to plow in the winter, and generally more plant to serve and maintain. Despite the budget situation (a subject on which Sabata and many workers are very informed and fluent) the University needs these workers and it should pay them wages that are realistically in line with the ever increasing cost of living.

It seems that the university does not intend to challenge the union application at the hearing and that the only remaining question involves the membership in this union of certain foremen on the staff so that by and large there is a union on the way. Sabata added "I'm proud we did it", and, for this chapter in social progress at Laurentian, the maintenance workers should be proud.





Frankly  
this has  
been a  
GREAT year  
as a  
president!



I've got the  
BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS  
and the  
Chairmans  
eating out of  
my HAND!



I've got the  
teachers  
DIVIDED and  
STALEMATED



My speeches  
are quoted  
VERBATIM in  
the local  
PRESS!  
COMMUNITY  
LEADERS  
back me  
RIGHT down  
the LINE!



I've got the  
LABS, TEACHING  
MACHINES, GYMS,  
POOLS and TV  
HOOK UPS  
clicking over  
like one  
perfectly  
tuned  
machine!



If I could  
just figure a  
way to get  
these damn  
KIDS the hell  
out of here,  
the place  
would run  
like  
a DREAM!

—from this magazine is about schools

## SGA enterprises presents

by Maurice E. Proulx

People attending the three ring Seminar sponsored by the SGA Executive were treated to a very interesting afternoon. People expecting a fee strike however were disappointed. SGA Enterprises announced the formation of another business concern as a guise for dealing with the fee crisis. The Trust Fund was co-produced by Ed Monahan, president of the University.

Monahan revealed (?) "You should recognize that it is not reasonable to expect the university to be widely enthusiastic about the fees withholding". It is my experience that the university never gets enthusiastic about students

in any case. We are just a pain in the ass that they tolerate as long as we bring in a bit of bread and behave.

There was little talk about a strike and only a little argument over the Trust Fund. Our flaming radical SGA president described ours as the "safest situation"; our strike should be "rather easy". He called on the seething mob of 45 people to support the Trust Fund idea.

Monahan told us "We expect people to be responsible and to support structures that are responsible", to which student Ike Lindener responded with charges of intimidation.

Lindener insisted Monahan

was abstracting in his definition of the University vis-a-vis this conflict between the students and the provincial government. Monahan produced a monument of liberal five although his style in dealing with heckling and arguments from the floor seems to have improved since his last guest appearance in an SGA slideshow.

Dave Pearson from the Faculty Association said it was too early for the Association to make a decision but that "faculty was very sympathetic in principle", effectively upstaging Monahan in wishy-washy rhetoric.

All in all, a good time was had by some.



"If God is all powerful, why does He allow suffering and evil?" A big question. A good question? Maybe.

Jesus told a parable about a man who owed his king ten thousand dollars. The time for payment was past and the man was brought by the state to the king to answer for his delinquency. With great urgency and tears the man pled personal bankruptcy and asked that the king waive the usual fine for non-payment - imprisonment of the offender and his family. Because he was tender and compassionate by nature the king forgave the man his debt and allowed him to go free. On his way home the man met a friend who owed him a thousand dollars. He grabbed him by the throat, demanded immediate payment, and, when that was delayed, he threw his friend into jail until he paid up.

The question we should be asking in terms of the suffering and evil in our world is not, why does God allow it? but, why do we allow it? If God were to stamp out all evil tomorrow, He would have to stamp out on us. We're such hypocrites! We bewail the exploitation of Vietnam while indulgently exploiting another person's body. We demand integration of black and white, French and English, while fervently upholding the right of the clique to be indifferent to all but itself. We're expert at settling remote difficulties but lousy at seeing, let alone settling our own difficulties.

God is all powerful. It's a good thing He's merciful. Or we'd all be losers.

**Dare Foods Ltd. -producer of Dare Cookies**  
**has refused to negotiate with its striking employees**  
**for six months. Intimidation, threats and arrogance**  
**have characterized the company's approach to**  
**the predominantly female work force.**

**Help Dare workers protect their union**  
**and win a fair contract.**

**DON'T BUY**  
**DARE COOKIES!**

**(and tell your friends not to, either)**





I'll starve before I'll eat at Versafoods.

## Faculty hockey now underway

by Wade Lecour

For the first time in many years, the lecturers, instructors, and professors have banded together to form a faculty hockey team. Under the organization of Byron Eastman, an economics lecturer in his first year at Laurentian, the staff was able to put a team on the ice.

Abe Barnett, the Associate Dean of Social Sciences, is the team coach. He is quite pleased with the team's progress especially since many of the players have

been off their skates for quite a while.

The existence of this team shows a spirit in the faculty which may have been dormant in recent years. As Mr. Eastman said, "We're in this thing for the fun of it. Oh, sure, we're out to win as many games as we can, but we're just hoping that we don't lower the class of the league any! The team spirit is tremendous."

The team has had trouble getting funds for the payment of equipment, ice time, and other es-

entials for playing hockey. Mr. Barnett has generously agreed to finance the team out of his own pocket. The team will eventually reimburse him by holding various social functions.

The team played its first game last Friday. They had a lot of fun and played their hearts out. The final score? Huntington 6, Faculty 1. Coach Barnett promises a few surprises for their next opponents including four new stars and a cheerleading squad comprised of the players' wives.

## Marked decrease in T.B. tests

by Wade Lecour

A total of 632 people had tuberculosis tests this year, a marked decrease of approximately 200 people from the last test held on the campus two years ago. Of these, 528 tests have been read and 62 people have experienced positive reactions. Another 28 people came in to the health office and said that they knew that they were positive.

There were various groups among the 632 people who took the test.

A portable X-ray machine will be brought to the campus and all people who had a positive reaction or who know that they are positive should have a chest X-ray. The time for these X-rays are December 12 and 13 from 9:00-12:00 am and from 1:30-4:00 pm. quired to take the test. Eventually the student nurses will be injected with a vaccine called BCG which will make them positive to a degree, thus giving them a resistance against any TB germs that they might encounter.

## Robert Colodney visits

The Division of the Humanities, and the Departments of History, Political Science and Sociology, together with the Office of the Vice-President (Academic), of Laurentian University, take pleasure in sponsoring the visit to campus of Dr. Robert Colodney, Professor of History at the University of Pittsburgh. Dr. Colodney will be on campus two days, on Wednesday, December 13, and Thursday, December 14. His schedule is as follows:

Public Lecture -- Wednesday evening, December 13, at 8:00 p.m. in Room C-309, on "The Spanish Civil War in the Perspective of World History". Question and answer period to follow.

Informal Meeting -- at 10:00 am, Thursday morning, December 14, in Room C-309 with the Departments of History, Political Science, and Sociology, and other members of the academic community, students and staff, with

discussion on "the odyssey of the human mind: the methods of science applied to society".

Informal Seminar Meeting -- at 2:00 pm., Thursday afternoon, December 14, in Room C-309, with the Division of the Humanities, staff and students, during which Dr. Colodney will discuss "the Impact of the Spanish conflict on the literary imagination: case in point - André Malraux."

Dr. Colodney has been Professor of History at the University of Pittsburgh since 1959. He specializes in the History and Philosophy of Science, and the History of Modern Europe. Dr. Colodney went as a combatant to Spain as a member of the Abraham Lincoln Battalion, the American Unit of the International Brigades. He was seriously wounded in the struggle for control of the University City approach to Madrid. He volunteered for service in the US Army before Pearl Harbor and served with Military Intelligence.

## in my opinion

By Bill Scandian

At this festive time of year I would like to take this opportunity to thank all those kind, beautiful and gentle people who have read and enjoyed my columns. To these wonderful people I wish to extend my sincerest wishes for a very merry Christmas and the happiest of New Years. I hope that you have even more success and happiness in the coming year of 1973.

To the folks who didn't read and enjoy my columns I would like to take this opportunity to say:


# CHEW MY SHORTS!

DON'T FORGET

Withhold your fees!!

## Rotmans

"THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF LOOSE TALK AFOOT LATELY ABOUT THE FIBREGLASS IN ROTMAN'S PATENTED FILTER CUTTING THOSE DELICATE LITTLE ALVEOLI IN YOUR LUNGS ALL TO SHREDDIES!!



pearsall

"WE AT PELL MELL WOULD LIKE TO DISPEL ALL SUCH SPITEFUL ALLEGATIONS AND SAY THIS ABOUT THAT...

Anyone can quit smoking

it takes a MAN to face internal hemorrhage.....



## **B** **Lack of money**

# **Budget cuts wiped out library planning**

The effects of the budget cuts for the library were felt immediately. When efforts were made to contact A.H. Mrozewski, Chief Librarian at Laurentian, they proved unsuccessful.

It turned out that he was on the sixth floor, trying to decide which periodicals should have their subscriptions cancelled.

Before the matter is investigated further, let's have a look at what Laurentian's library consists of.

The library is located in the Ralph D. Parker building, commonly referred to as the library tower. However, it is not really the library tower since the library occupies only the first, third, fifth, sixth and seventh floors.

The areas covered include the Humanities and Social Sciences, Physical and Natural Sciences, Commerce and Administration, Engineering, Modern Languages, Nursing, Physical and Health Education and Social Work.

Its collection strengths lie in Canadian Studies, Chemistry, Finnish, French Language and Literature, Geology, Italian and Political Science. It also has a special collection of Russian history texts.

Besides being spread over the five floors of the "library tower", it extends to the Science Library and the Physical Education reading room.

Current volume holdings equal 180,400; current serial titles received equal 4,323 and microfilms amount to 16,338.

This all sounds very impressive, but it really isn't, the library has many problems.

### **study conducted**

In April of 1971, Mrs. Margaret Beckman, a leading library consultant, provided Laurentian with an analysis of its physical requirements. Excerpts from her report follow with respect to the problems of the Ralph D. Parker Building as they relate to library operations.

"In order to maintain effective control of the collection, it is essential that there be one entrance and exit through which all users must pass." This is not the case with the library tower.

"Two elevators and two stairwells are outside the entrance to the library on the first three floors, two elevators and one stairwell continue outside the library entrance into the tower floors."

"The result of this control problem is expensive, in terms of library operations and inconvenient in terms of readers. The book loss which would result, however, if control were not maintained cannot be estimated. Some libraries are experiencing loss rates as high as 10% per annum, not withstanding very rigid control."

She goes on to discuss personal relationships ending with a conclusion:

"None of the conditions necessary for efficient functional relationships exist in the Parker Building. Receiving and acquisitions are on the First Floor with the reference and reserve collections, a large reading hall, and the card catalogue. The catalogue department is spread among several small rooms on the Third floor, in an area which makes it impossible to provide either supervision or clerical staff or any kind of effective flow of ma-

terials. In addition, the catalogue department staff is two floors above the card catalogue, which must be referred to constantly. Binding and mending activities are carried out in little rooms at the back of both Floor Five and Floor Six. All of these activities - receiving, acquisitions, cataloguing, (typing, processing, card production, authority files, mending), binding - should be performed on the First Floor. The present library suffers, and would continue to suffer, from inefficiency and duplication because sufficient space cannot be made available on the First Floor."

Mrs. Beckman goes on to say that a larger proportion of the library's budget goes to staff salaries than is necessary. The reasons for this include extra staff needed to control books in the seven sections of the library, and the technical services. She states, "It is possible to suggest however, that greatly increased and improved services could be offered with the present staff members, if the technical services were all in close proximity to each other, to the bibliographic (reference) collection, and to the card catalogue. The present arrangement, at a conservative estimate, has duplicated and redundant activities and costs the university at least \$10,000 a year."

The bay size also came under criticism. Due to the design of the library, a consultant in 1966, Keyes D. Metcalf, estimated that 10 per cent of the total stack area would be wasted.

Mrs. Beckman, using 1976 library space requirement projections, states that 3,743 square feet of reader space and 3,370 square feet of stack space or a total of 7,113 square feet would be lost as unusable space.

"Calculating with a conservative figure of \$30.00 per square foot for building costs, the loss to the university is equal to \$213,390.00."

"The total identified hidden cost of operating a library in the Parker Building rather than in space more specifically designed to meet library requirements can be projected until 1976 to total \$448,390."

But this still is not the whole problem.

"The physical environment in the Parker Building, in terms of library space, is conducive neither to providing a quiet place to study in close association with library materials, nor to providing an effective safeguard of the books and microforms against deterioration caused by excessive daylight, heat, dust, and lack of humidity."

That is just about the whole problem.

She gives three alternatives to the library problem. The first is to leave the building essentially the same and gradually release more space to the library until it occupies the entire building. Of course, after 1976, it would have reached its capacity and would need additions. Total cost approximately \$2,148,000.

The second solution is to alter the building so that a more efficient library operation would result. Total costs including additions in 1976 would be approximately \$1,600,000.

Her personal recommendation is the third alternative.

"The Parker Building could be completely assigned to non-library functions, and space for a new Library provided in a multi-purpose Staging Building which would eventually be occupied solely by the Library." In other

words, build a new building.

Her summary, coupled with Ontario's Progressive Conservative government's freeze on university building, does not leave much hope for Laurentian's library.

"Although the Laurentian Library was designed to meet identified needs at the time, there have been new developments of the past five years which drastically change those requirements. The Ralph D. Parker Building, although ideally constructed for office, seminar, meeting or teaching use, does not lend itself to efficient operation as a library, and the University would incur considerable unnecessary expense as long as it continues to do so. Although some changes could be made in the Parker Building to facilitate more effective library use, they would be unduly expensive, and the resulting Library would fall short of ideal.

It is therefore impossible to arrive at any conclusion other than that the Ralph D. Parker Building CANNOT PROVIDE, NOW, OR IN THE FUTURE, adequate or pleasing space for the efficient and effective functioning of a university library. A great disservice would be done to both faculty and students of Laurentian University if it is decided to assign the Library to this building, permanently."

### **ideas not new**

Mrs. Beckman's views are nothing new, they have been held and expressed for the past twelve years by various chief librarians.

Father Fillion, chief librarian from 1960-1970, Bruce MacNeil, interim chief librarian and A.H. Mrozewski, present chief librarian since January 1, 1972, have all voiced these similar opinions.

If people hold the belief that a library is the heart of any un-

iversity as they rightly should, and that the development of a university is directly related to the development of its library, then this problem can no longer be ignored.

If Laurentian has any hopes of becoming a well-known respected university and of extending graduate studies in the future, it can no longer afford to ignore the recommendations of the library consultants.

And yet the budget was cut. A.H. Mrozewski expressed his concern over the budget cut:

"I expressed my conviction that a budget of \$700,000 (plus \$21,900 for fringe benefits) in contrast to the present budget of \$720,000 (plus \$21,400 for fringe benefits) means simply that it will be impossible to expand the existing services."

He went on to say that though no staff members will be cut, the vacancies which are now present and those which may occur in the future will not be filled. As well, if absolutely necessary, the library staff may have to work staggered shifts. There will also be a decrease in the number of books purchased.

Obviously, all the unnecessary costs brought out by Margaret Beckman will not aid the situation in the least.

What the administration seems to fail to grasp is that a university library must contain a minimum collection with minimum staff regardless of the size of the mother institution. Therefore, budgeting library operations strictly by the number of students simply cannot work - subscription price of a scientific journal is the same whether the university has two thousand or four thousand students, library hours are the same whether they are for three or four thousand students," states Mrozewski.

A major problem seems to be the lack of direct representation for the library on the Academic Planning Committee, the body

which decides the long range goals and services of the library.

This is in direct contradiction to a recommendation of a task force report by the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada in regards to Library Rationalization.

"It is recommended that there be ex-officio membership from the library on any committee recommending upon establishment or revisions of a graduate or research programme, and that the department proposing the programme be required to submit a written statement of its library needs in sufficient detail for costs to be estimated."

A.H. Mrozewski agrees and goes on to say that since the library is such an integral part of any university it should have more representation in various committees than it now does.

He states, as well, that student concern about the library is good to see, showing positive feelings towards the library.

He urges that the two students on the Senate Library Committee examine their ideas on the Library very carefully and then express them actively.

**lambda  
staff  
meeting**

**wed.**

**4:30**

**L 222**

**down er up**

Time has come, I suppose, to take a look at the wild proposals of the Ontario Federation of Students.

With all the talk of a fees strike in January, one thought at registration that this was going to be a real bang up year as far as demonstrations were concerned. That latent radicalism that is inherent in all students was once again destined to raise itself from the oppressed grave that it has lain in for years.

Well, we really showed them didn't we? That demonstration at Queen's Park was a real thriller. Why there must have been two or three hundred people there. Of course, this multitude represented a paltry 100,000 that didn't show up, but nevertheless the spirit was still there.

Then again, we have that nasty fees strike that we have all heard about. Yes sir, we are going to cripple the government and the universities at the same time and all with the same old sloop. Just think of how this month long withholding of fees will affect the government. Why, if they were housed in tall buildings it would probably start the greatest suicide string since the great depression.

The two proposals that the OFS has put forward have carried about as much weight as a snowflake falling on an elephant, and a pretty tough elephant at that!

The last proposal that has come our way is that of a trust fund, which will be set up to hold your fees until such time as the government cries uncle and gives up the ghost of Christmas past. It is hoped that there is no time limit on this trust fund because once again the OFS has come up with an offer the government can't refuse. They can't refuse to sit back and laugh their asses off. After all, a month long delay and a trust fund are pretty nasty tactics.

In what could be billed as the greatest mis-match of the century, the OFS has taken on the provincial government with all the menacing power of the Polish army of world war two. We have seen how effective they were.

Perhaps the OFS has taken the wrong approach to the problem. Perhaps they, just started off on the wrong foot. Of course that would imply that they took it out of their mouth long enough to use it!

They have read their support with the effectiveness of a three time loser in municipal elections. They have planned outstanding events like the Toronto demonstration, one that will go down in history as the quietest revolution since the silent majority rolled over and died.

With the present moves of the OFS in perspective perhaps it would be appropriate to use the words of Ebenezer Scrooge, "Bah, Humbug!"



Introducing  
a great new ale.

**ENCORE**

The ale that tastes like more.



Have we got an ale for you!  
It's called Encore.  
And it's brewed smooth  
and easy-drinking  
so it always tastes great.  
Have an Encore.  
The ale that tastes like more.





## SPORTS

# Vees give U of T the Laurentian Blues, win 74-65

It was a classic effort by a team that was wounded and seemingly outgunned by a physically larger University of Toronto Blues squad. Both George Chandler and Paul Mousseau watched the game from the sidelines, weighed securely down by ankle casts.

But the replacements were more than adequate, as the Vees put together a fine defensive game to hand U of T a 74-65 loss, Saturday night at the Ben Avery building.

For rookie Mike Visser it was his best game of the year, as he not only potted 12 points, but snatched 18 rebounds from hungry Toronto hands. His enthusiastic greed for rebounds could only be matched by Dan Cattapan's, who also grabbed 18.

The Voyageur game plan was to prevent the Blues from setting up in the Laurentian end. Toronto attempted to do this very early in the game and took a four point lead. The Varsity guards, when in the Vees' end, called out numbers designating certain set plays. But Coach Ken Shields started shouting at his team, saying, "Pressure them, pressure them!" No doubt that the message came across as the Vees pressured the Toronto guards and broke up their set play strategy.

By half time the Voyageurs had an uneasy 8 point lead, going into the dressing room with a favourable 36-28 score. They managed to come out in the second half and add to their point total, but crucial turnovers enabled the Blues to close the gap to 6 points. The Vees, however, refused to be rattled and managed to regroup and once again add to their point total.

The Laurentian squad had another relapse towards the last minute of play, with the Toronto team cutting the Voyageur lead to a dangerous 4 point margin.

But once again the Vees took control of the situation and added another 5 points to their numerical advantage.

It was crucial in the game that Laurentian at least hold its own against Varsity in the rebounding department. Not only did they succeed in doing that, but in fact out-rebounded the Blues, 53-40. Of the Laurentian total, 30 of the rebounds were defensive ones.

Guy Vetric, Mel Bishop and Eric Anderson proved to fans that no team they have met this year at home, is as strong at guard position as Laurentian. All three can shoot well, dribble and set up plays.

Although Coach Ken Shields was pleased with the defensive aspect of his team's performance, he was critical of the turnovers that the team gave away. "When we had Toronto beat and could have really given it to them, the guys gave the ball away. Against a really good team that would have cost us the game, as it did against Saginaw Valley."

Shields also felt the team played the boards well and was satisfied with the rebounding, and in particular praised the efforts of Mike Visser.

The victory enabled the Vees to retain their hold on first place, with 5 victories and no losses to their credit. Vees are also on top in the scoring and rebounding departments.

Scorers in the Toronto game were: Dan Cattapan 23, Guy Vetric 17, Mel Bishop 16, Mike Visser 12, and Eric Anderson with 6.

For the Varsity Blues it was Brian Skyvington with 19, Tony Rudmilk with 12, Dave Ferguson 12, Bill Francis 8, Tim McGhie 4 and Ave Albo 4, and Frank Cress, Glen Scott and Dave Watt with two each.



A close up of Guy Vetric in action. Vetric is at present the league's top point scorer.

## American teams beat Vees

On Sunday, December 3, the basketball Vees embarked on what proved to be a very long and arduous trip, but against the tough American competition the team

surely learned some valuable lessons.

Laurentian played against Saginaw Valley State College Monday night and Grand Valley State Col-

lege Tuesday night. The Vees were without the services of Paul Mousseau and George Chandler, both suffering ankle injuries. Mousseau's presence in particular was missed against the big and aggressive Saginaw and Grand Valley squads.

Against Saginaw turnovers proved to be the Vees downfall, as they allowed Saginaw to score quick baskets at the end of each half to pull away. Down 15 points at the end of the first half, the Vees fell 20-25 points behind for most of the second half, but with some scrappy play cut Saginaw's lead to 11 points with four and a half minutes remaining. However, the Vees then missed 2 or 3 excellent scoring opportunities, turned the ball over several times in those last few minutes and the game ended Saginaw 98, LU 74. Guy Vetric and Dan Cattapan scored 18 points each with Mel Bishop adding 12.

The next night against Grand Valley State College, the Vees ran into a very big, strong team who were superior to our Vees in just about every department, defeating our team 109-61. The Vees were physically over-matched as the Grand Valley squad featured 9 players ranging between 6'3" and 6'7". Couple this with some very aggressive but disciplined play and Grand Valley controlled play at both ends of the court.

Like the night before, the Vees trailed by 15 points at the half and once again allowed their opponent to jump to a commanding lead early in the second half through costly turnovers, but this time there was no coming back.

Mel Bishop was our top scorer with 23 points (17 at the half) and Eric Anderson followed with 12 points. Guy Vetric was held to a mere 7 points.

## Hoop stats

### EASTERN SECTION

	GP	W	L	F	A	PTS
Laurentian	4	3	0	317	230	8
Queen's	2	1	1	146	139	2
Toronto	4	2	2	301	295	4
Carleton	2	1	1	146	135	2
York	3	1	2	198	228	2
Ryerson	3	0	3	177	258	0

### SCORES LAST WEEK

Dec. 1 York 83, Queen's 74  
Carleton 77, Ryerson 62  
Dec. 2 Toronto 73, Carleton 69

### WESTERN SECTION

	GP	W	L	F	A	PTS
Western	2	2	0	183	170	4
Windsor	2	2	0	177	131	4
Lutheran	2	1	1	137	143	2
Guelph	1	0	1	62	94	0
McMaster	1	0	1	90	93	0
Brock	2	0	1	140	158	0

### OUAA BASKETBALL - SCORING STATISTICS UP TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 2, 1972

#### EASTERN SECTION

	FIELD	FOUL	TP
Guy Vetric, Laurentian	33/78	19/21	85
Mel Bishop, Laurentian	33/72	7/9	73
Dave Watt, Toronto	21/61	29/44	71
Bob Weppler, York	22/59	15/21	59
Brian Skyvington, Toronto	17/47	16/27	50
Pamly Filinski, Toronto	14/31	11/14	39
Paul Mousseau, Laurentian	16/24	6/13	38
George Perpick, Ryerson	14/31	8/11	36
Derek Swinnard, Queen's	13/29	8/8	34
Rick Haggerman, Ryerson	13/37	7/12	33
Jon Love, Carleton	13/35	7/14	33



Guy Vetric and Mel Bishop team up to penetrate the U of T defense.



# COMMENTARY

"The people of Sudbury deserve better hockey". These were the words spoken by a former sports director of a local television station. At the time Sudbury was desperately fighting to obtain an OHA Jr. "A" franchise: the NOHA (Northern Ontario Hockey Association) was on its way out.

Well, Sudbury got what it wanted, or at least in part. An OHA franchise was obtained, but better hockey for the fans in the area is still a pipe dream as far as junior hockey is concerned.

On three different occasions this year I have ignored warnings and advice by hockey fans, and have taken in a Wolves game. And three times I was disappointed with what I saw.

The last encounter that I witnessed featured the powerhouse of the league, the Toronto Marlies and the local pussycats known to most as the Sudbury Wolves. That the Marlies won 7-1 was almost incidental to the game itself. What stuck out was the inept play of the Wolves, who have unfortunately, adopted a "hotdog philosophy". Hotdogs are athletes who think they can do everything on their own, and in this game the Wolves proved that they had many hotdogs.

Perhaps the Wolves are prone to getting a deadly disease if they pass the puck to move the play quickly and effectively. Maybe the ice will swallow up any Sudbury forward who tries to backcheck the opposition. Surely the defence plays loosely so that the opposing team can get hundreds of breakaways, giving the game that added excitement. Or maybe they allow all those shots from the slot to warm up the goaltender.

True the Marlies are a strong team and perhaps I'm too vehement and nasty in my negative comments. The point is that the Marlies play very well as a team - they pass the puck, look for the open man and the forwards backcheck, giving the defence support and added poise. There was no reason in that Marlie game for the Wolves to lose by six goals. Man for man the Sudbury team is not that much weaker and could stay within two or three goals of the Toronto team, if team play was realized.

That the game was as close as six goals with the performance the Wolves exhibited was remarkable, considering that the Sudbury team made almost every fundamental mistake in hockey. They threw the puck away needlessly, didn't backcheck and refused to pass when the occasion warranted. Then there were those priceless plays by the last man back in the Marlie zone. Instead of passing to an open man, the Wolves tried to get by a Marlie who was forechecking. Result - a breakaway for the Marlies and a short-handed goal to be scored while Wolves had the power play advantage.

It isn't surprising then, that many members of the local press would rather cover the Laurentian games. They cite that the Vees are more mature, pass the puck well and that the game generally moves more quickly.

Yet the myth of Jr. "A" hockey still lives and thrives. Fans believe that university hockey is a sophisticated high-school league, forgetting that many former Jr. "A" players compete in that league.

But as long as the Wolves play like a peewee team and stick to their hotdog philosophy, they will not come for \$2.50 or \$3.00 again this season. If I want to watch shinny games, I'll trot over to one of the creeks in Azilda, where the ten year olds exhibit a higher calibre of shinny than the Wolves are capable of coming up with.

The people of Sudbury deserve better Jr. "A" hockey.

by Bob Steklasa

## Jack Hurst wins MVP

The Northern Football Conference has announced individual award winners and for Laurentian's Jack Hurst it was good news indeed. He won the league's Most Valuable Player Award and receives the O'Keefe Trophy. Hurst was responsible for instigating the most colourful offense in the league, with his various option plays, long bombs and scrambling ability.

Ken Soulliere and Mike Sullivan were also nominated for the

O'Keefe trophy.

Dave Linklater and Tim Worton were both in the top eight when it came to the Hotel Windsor Trophy for the top lineman, as was Ken Soulliere.

Three Voyageurs were in the running for the rookie of the year award, with Mike Sullivan and Peter Kotyk placing third and fourth respectively. John McLarty was the other Voyageur nominated.

## Water Polo Clinic free

After only a matter of a few months of the game of Water Polo being played in the Sudbury Region and a matter of weeks of it being played on campus, the first Referees-Coaches clinic is scheduled to be held on Saturday, December 16, 1972.


The growing interest in the Game of Water Polo throughout the Sudbury Region and Laurentian University has prompted the Canadian and Ontario Water Polo Association and the Department of Physical and Health Education of Laurentian to sponsor the clinic.

Two Canadian experts on the game will be in Sudbury for the one day clinic. They will be Lyle Makosky, newly appointed National Director, and Graeme Dimock, Ottawa Regional Director.

Registrations will take place at 10:30 am on Saturday December 16 at the Benjamin Avery Physical Education Centre. The clinic will end at 4:30 pm the same day.

There will be NO charges for this clinic and all persons interested are welcome to attend. The clinic will consist of practical demonstrations as well as some theory and films.

Those requiring more information should contact Dr. Bob Jensen, ext. 272, or Lionel Rudd, 673-4706.



Toronto players look in astonishment as one of their players sinks a basket from thirty feet out.

## Hockey stats

### WESTERN SECTION

	GP	W	L	T	F	A	PTS
Waterloo	5	4	0	1	32	11	9
Guelph	5	4	1	0	29	19	8
Western	5	3	2	0	36	19	6
Laurentian	4	2	2	0	16	17	4
Bruch	4	0	4	0	10	36	0
Windsor	2	0	2	0	5	11	0
McMaster	5	0	5	0	10	46	0

### EASTERN SECTION

	GP	W	L	T	F	A	PTS
Toronto	5	5	0	0	46	14	10
Laurentian	5	4	1	0	35	21	8
York	5	4	1	0	35	21	8
Queen's	5	2	2	1	28	21	5
Ottawa	4	2	2	0	29	23	4
Carleton	4	1	3	0	17	14	2
Rutgers	5	0	5	0	13	51	0

### Eastern Section

Jim Sunstrom, Queen's	6	5	14
Bill Baba, Toronto	7	7	14
Frank Hamill, Laurentian	5	5	13
Al Avery, York	4	9	13
Bill Fox, Ottawa	3	9	12
Pierre Chartier, Ottawa	9	3	10
Barry Jenkins, York	3	9	12
Don Pagnutti, Toronto	4	8	12
Paul Cerre, York	6	5	11
Gerri Greerham, York	3	8	11
Harry Soms, Toronto	5	6	11
Kent Ruhnke, Toronto	6	5	11
Mike Fox, Laurentian	3	8	11

### HOCKEY - SCORING STATISTICS

	G	A	TP
Western Section	7	5	12
Dave Farago, Guelph	5	7	12
Gary Coons, Western	1	9	10
Peter Fraser, Western	2	8	10
John Marshall, Guelph	2	7	9
Dick Oudekerk, Western	7	2	9
Don Smith, Western	0	9	9
Phil Howard, Western	4	3	7
Ray Lisk, Western	3	4	7
Dave Edwards, Western	5	2	7
Russ Elliot, Waterloo	2	5	7
Jim Nickleson, Waterloo	1	6	7
John Weylle, Guelph	2	5	7
Bob Halpenny, Guelph	3	4	7
Doug Weaver, Guelph			

## MEMORANDUM

For all those wondering about the hours for the use of the Physical Education Centre, Lambda has been advised that the

Centre will be closed on Sunday, December 24, Monday December 25 and Tuesday Decem-

ber 26. It will also be closed on Sunday December 31 and Monday January 1, 1973.



